Holographic Type Communication Delivering the Promise of Future Media by 2030

1

Kiran Makhijani, Future Networks, Futurewei ICNS, IARIA 3 June 2019, Athens, Greece

Year 2035 will be majestic year - ③

One fine day... Feb 2018 Service Mashups Hypothetically speaking, (that blend networking and other technologies, assume we want to design a new and that integrate the virtual and the physical network and its associated world) **protocols** that would support future Multiworld in the year 2035. source. multi-What would be your best use cases and market drivers? destination problem Networked **VR Holograms** with tactile sensors

Future Scenarios will Blend Virtual and Real Worlds Seamlessly.

> AR/VR HMDs prohibitive to natural experience. Holograms will be core Digital Actors Holograms always need network

Holographic Digital Actors

Start with **Inserting holograms in real environment**

- Allow experiences to develop without having to use HMDs.
- First steps is to Focus on placement of Digital Actors in a Physical World



Naturally, grounded in the real world., Can be life sized or resizable, Responsive, but not alive.

Holographic Communication Use case



Digital Actor Single point cloud holographic object in a real scene

Telepresence using Hologram. It is the only digital object in the scene. Remaining entities are real.

Holographic Media Engine

**800K points = 1000 Mbps. *Raw LF: 500 GB/s



Decompression

Object wave reconstruction

Evolution of Holograms from Diffraction patterns to Light field models.

Still Holographic datasets comprise of giga (or tera) bytes of uncompressed data.

Computation times for codecs can be restrictively high (~50ms)

source: *https://mpeg.chiariglione.org/sites/default/files/events/08 KARAFIN LightFieldLab MPEGWorkshopLB v01.pdf **https://mpeg.chiariglione.org/sites/default/files/events/05 MP20%20PPC%20Preda%202017.pdf

Lighfield Displays

Streaming



LATENCY Shorter the Better

Traditional Networking With end to end intelligence in order to support holographic streams.

Trade offs between

- 1. How much can we transmit?
- 2. The resolution or quality?
- 3. How much delay is acceptable?

But there's a limit:

- 1. Throughput is not exactly bandwidth.
- 2. Latency is not same as innetwork delay.

Capabilities Today

Best Effort

Traffic Engineering



Available Guarantees

- Per-Hop Behavior
- Forwarding Path (Source Routing)
- Minimal Bandwidth
- × Throughput Guarantee
- × Latency Guarantee
- × Being Lossless
- × Zero Jitter

Differentiated Services



Throughput

Use case: On-demand High Resolution HV

 $T \leq \frac{MSS}{RTT^* \sqrt{\rho}} \implies \rho \leq \left(\frac{MSS}{RTT^*T}\right)^2$



<u>*Source: https://www.huawei.com/~/media/CORPORATE/PDF/white%20paper/Technical-</u> White-Paper-on-Mobile-Bearer-Network-Requirements-for-Mobile-Video-Services There's a threshold to packet loss, beyond

which user experience degrades (U-vMOS*)

Higher vMOS meant low tolerance to PLR *(vMOS 4.5, 4K video, PLR 3.5 x 10⁻⁵)

Can packet losses be always prevented? Or How to mitigate effects of Packet loss.



Use case: Live event feed/Real-time interactive and immersive gaming

Lots of computational power for decoding and rendering different views.

Utilize edge for distance.

Can we still guarantee High-precision communications for real-time interactions?

High-Precision



Use case: Extremely low latencies for critical events such as accident avoidance

Provide Network with attributes to handle delays due to Transmission, Propagation, Processing and Queuing

Paradigm shift to per packet delivery guarantee (instead of flow levels).

Coordinated



Use case: Synchronized Remote Collaboration

- Remote collaborations strive for natural experiences . Same instance of virtual environment (not sooner or later).
- Match timeliness when changes about things appear for each receiver.
- Guaranteed multicast, incast and multi-party communications.

Qualitative



Use case: When packet loss is not acceptable; Tolerance to errors

- Adverse network conditions lead to entire packet dropped.
- Bit-level information is too-fine grained and packet is coarse grained.
- Assign different weights to parts of packets and drop lessimportant data in favor of guaranteed delivery of useful packets
- Provide artefacts to repair or recover lost data at a later node.

Holographic Streaming



Network Guarantees: of Timeliness, bandwidth utilization, lossless-ness

Necessary Full Stack Collaboration

Challenges

In-network capabilities

- 1. Lost of bandwidth
- 2. Trade offs between how much to compress and affordable delays.
- 3. Metadata to identify key pieces of environmental data.
- 4. FOV is only 1/5 of the scene. Bandwidth is wasted.
- Currently no way to measure Quality (MoS) etc...

- 1. Provide metadata to network to receive desired experience.
- 2. Provide indication of time information.
- 3. Enabling in network qualitative techniques to resize, adapt surface textures.
- 4. Disaggregate key pieces of environmental data, e.g. different planes as different flows.
- 5. Coordinate fairness over heterogeneous links.

Future Media



Teleportation = Holoportation + Sensual Information

Тоисн

- PER INCH² ~ 20 TO 50 MBPS → FOR ONE AVERAGE SIZE HAND: ~ 1GBPS
- LATENCY <100 MS,
 - FOR NATURAL DELAY WITH THE BRAIN TOUCH FUNCTION

TASTE

- CHEMICAL REACTIONS
- BIT RATE AND LATENCY ?

SMELL

Tuesday, 19 February 2019

SMELL AND TASTE ARE INTER-RELATED



Source: *https://www.itu.int/en/ITU-T/Workshops-and-Seminars/20190218/Documents/Rahim_Tafazolli_Presentation.pdf

Are we ready for the year 2030+? No, absolutely not!

Precision of time in services	÷	Industrial Control Autonomous Driving Tactile Internet
Holographic Media		Real-time high-throughput streaming Coordination of different streams
ManyNets Infrastructure	-	Space Internets Private Internet Unresolved Regulatory barriers
Moving beyond best effort	:	Premium Services Lossless networking
Rich Access Technology		Gbps/Tbps access enabled by 5G/B5G and Surface Wave

Networking 101: **No significant fundamental change necessary for networks.**

- MPLS provides a switching way to implement traffic engineering and VPN services
- 2) IPv6 changes the addressing scheme,
- 3) while SRv6 reformats source routing
- 4) SDN changes the way to control networks
- 5) NFV changes the way to implement network functions

Futurewei Technologies

Vision Network 2030



Summary



New Network Capabilities

- High-Precision (time-based services)
- Qualitative service to manage throughputs
- Coordinated services for single view of virtual worlds

Collaboration for new network-friendly media formats

- Mechanisms to disaggregate volumetric data sets
- Lots of metadata support.

Future Media Enablers/Market Drivers

- Multi-sensory
- Teleportation
- Spatial Compute

Elements of Network 2030

Publications and Talks

Concepts

- A New Way to Evolve the Internet, A Keynote Speech at IEEE NetSoft 2018, Montreal, Canada, June 2018
- What if we reimagine the Internet?, A Keynote Speech at IEEE ICII 2018, Bellevue, Washington, USA, Oct 2018

Framework and Architecture

- A New Framework and Protocol for Future Networking, ACM Sigcomm 2018 NEAT Workshop, Budapest, August 20, 2018
- New IP: Design for Future Internet with New Service Capabilities Envisioned, IEEE ICC Industry Tutorial, 2019

Market Drivers and Requirements

- Towards a New Internet for the Year 2030 and Beyond, ITU IMT-2020/5G Workshop, Geneva, Switzerland, July 2018
- Network 2030: Market Drivers and Prospects, ITU-T 1st Workshop on Network 2030, New York City, New York, October 2018
- Next Generation Networks: Requirements and Research Directions, ETSI New Internet Forum, the Hague, the Netherlands, October 2018
- The Requirements for the Internet and the Internet Protocol in 2030, ITU-T 3rd Workshop on Network 2030, London, Feb 2019

New Technologies

- Preferred Path Routing A Next-Generation Routing Framework beyond Segment Routing, IEEE Globecom 2018, December 2018
- Flow-Level QoS Assurance via In-Band Signaling, 27th IEEE WOCC 2018, 2018
- Using Big Packet Protocol Framework to Support Low Latency based Large Scale Networks, ICNS 2019, Athens, 2019

Use Cases and Verticals

- A Novel Multi-Factored Replacement Algorithm for In-Network Content Caching, EUCNC 2019, Valencia, Spain
- Distributed Mechanism for Computation Offloading Task Routing in Mobile Edge Cloud Network, ICNC 2019, Honolulu, USA
- Enhance Information Derivation by In-Network Semantic Mashup for IoT Applications, EUCNC 2018, Ljubljana, Slovenia
- Latency Guarantee for Multimedia Streaming Service to Moving Subscriber with 5G Slicing, ISNCC 2018, Rome, Italy

References

- Holographic content considerations methods for efficient data transmission and content creation methodologies
- Point Cloud Compression in MPEG MP20 Workshop Hong kong 2017
- Keynote: the near future of immersive experiences: where we are on the journey, what lies ahead, and what it takes to get there.
- Architectures and codecs for real-time light field streaming journal of imaging science and Technology , January 2017
- A Dynamic Compression Technique for Streaming Kinect-Based Point Cloud Data (2017 International Conference on Computing, Networking and Communications (ICNC): Multimedia Computing and Communications)
- Technical White Paper on Mobile Bearer Network Requirements for Mobile Video Services.
- On the Support of Light Field and Holographic Video Display Technology, Light Field Lab, Inc., San Jose, CA. "The road to immersive communication," Proceedings of the IEEE, vol. 100, Apr. 2012.

Thank You

Comments, Curious, Questions?

kiranm@Futurewei.com

ITU-T FG-NET-2030 <u>https://www.itu.int/en/ITU-T/focusgroups/net2030/Pages/default.aspx</u>