A Preliminary Analysis of the Determinants of Acceptance of Contact Tracing Apps in Brazil

IARIA

P. Endo, G. Fox, T. Lynn, P. Rosati, and L. van der Werff patricia.endo@upe.br





Dr. Patricia Takako Endo

Professor, Universidade de Pernambuco, Brazil Principal Investigator, dotLAB Brazil

- Postdoctoral Researcher, Grupo de Pesquisa em Redes e Telecomunicações (GPRT), Universidade Federal de Pernambuco
- Postodoctoral Researcher, Irish Centre for Cloud Computing & Commerce



Brazil continues to experience a high volume of COVID-19 case



Each day shows new cases reported since the previous day





Brazil continues to experience a high volume of COVID-19 case

Brazil		
Total cases	New cases (14 days)	Deaths
19,262,518	\sim	538,942
Reported yesterday: +52,789	Jul 2–15: +640,214	Reported yesterday: +1,548
Total doses given	New doses given (14 days)	People fully vaccinated
120,873,006	\sim \sim	33,296,719
Reported yesterday: +1,986,396	Jul 2–15: +18,092,910	% of population: 15.8%





In 2020, due to the absence of pharmaceutical interventions, the Brazilian governments implemented lockdowns, social distancing and contact tracing interventions.







A number of contact tracing apps and monitoring apps were introduced in Brazil during the pandemic however required disclosure of location and electronic personal health information (ePHI).





Contact tracing apps are both health applications and location based services and thus introduce complex privacy concerns



What roles do individual's perceptions of privacy and benefits play in determining their acceptance and use of contact tracing applications?

Five hypotheses based on social contact theory and privacy calculus theory were developed



Proposed model for users and non-users







Methodology and Analysis

- Existing scales were used to develop our survey instrument
- Survey was piloted and translated in to **Brazilian Portuguese**
- Respondents were asked about prior use of COVID-19 apps to identify users and non-users – specific or neutrally framed questions were then posed.
- **Qualtrics panels** were used to source a nationally representative panel of residents in Brazil
- No identifying data was collected to reduce fears around anonymity and common method bias.
- An attention trap was included.
- Model tested using Structured Equation Modelling (SEM) in AMOS v25

Construct	Item s	Source
1.Intention to Adopt/Continue Use	3	Venkatesh et al. (2003)/ Bhattacherjee (2001)
2.Willingness to Disclose Accurate Data	2	Xu et al. (2009)
3.Willingness to Rely on App	3	McKnight et al. (2002)
4.Reciprocal Benefits	2	Hamari & Koivisto, (2015)
5.Perceived Health benefits	5	Li et al. (2014)
6.Social Influence	4	Venkatesh et al. (2003)
7.Perceived Control	4	Dinev et al. (2013)
8.Perceived Privacy	3	Dinev et al. (2013)
9.Perceived Surveillance	3	Xu et al. (2012b)
10.Propensity to trust technology	4	McKnight et al. (2011)





Sampling and Screening Final sample of 1,114 responses

Gender		A	ge	Employn	Employment Educatio		
Male	524	18-20	79	Employed	507	Primary School	9
Female	589	21-29	252	Self-employed	272	High School	467
Rather Not Say	1	30-39	286	Unemployed	149	Technical College	14
Other	0	40-49	214	Student	86	Bachelor degree	382
				Unavailable for			
		50-59	175	work	11	Masters degree	294
		60-69	96	Retired	89	Doctorate degree	35
		70+	12			Other	13
Personal Experien	ce with	h Covid 19:					
Tested for Covid-19)	Diagnosed	d with Covid-	10		Hospitalized	
Yes	211	Yes			48	Yes	3
No	893	No			1062	No	45
Rather not say	10	Rather not	say		4	N/A	1066





Results for App Users

H1a: Perceived Surveillance in the Aps >> Perceived Privacy		
H1b: Propensity to trust technology >> Perceived Privacy	Yes	
H1c: Perceived Control in App >> Perceived Privacy		
H2a: Perceived Privacy >> Intention to continue use	Yes	
H2b: Perceived Privacy >> Willingness to Disclose Data	Yes	
H3: Social Influence >> Intention to continue use	No	
H4a: Reciprocal Benefits >> Intention to continue use	Yes	
H4b: Reciprocal Benefits >> Willingness to Disclose Data	Yes	
H4c: Reciprocal Benefits >> Willingness to Rely on App	Yes	
H5: Health Benefits >> Intention to continue use	Yes	
H6a: Intention to continue use >> Willingness to Disclose Data	Yes	
H6b: Willingness to Disclose Data >> Willingness to Rely on App	Yes	



Controls				
Gender	(0.012) n.s			
Age	(0.002) n.s			
Tested for Covid	(0.023) n.s			





Results for Non-Users

H1a: Perceived Surveillance in the Aps >> Perceived Privacy		
H1b: Propensity to trust technology >> Perceived Privacy	Yes	
H1c: Perceived Control in App >> Perceived Privacy		
H2a: Perceived Privacy >> Intention to download the App		
H2b: Perceived Privacy >> Willingness to Disclose Data	Yes	
H3: Social Influence >> Intention to download the App	No	
H4a: Reciprocal Benefits >> Intention to download the App	Yes	
H4b: Reciprocal Benefits >> Willingness to Disclose Data	Yes	
H4c: Reciprocal Benefits >> Willingness to Rely on the App	Yes	
H5: Health Benefits >> Intention to continue use	Yes	
H6a: Intention to continue use >> Willingness to Disclose Data	Yes	
H6b: Willingness to Disclose Data >> Willingness to Rely on the App	Yes	









Discussion

- Support previous assertions of importance of offering some level of control to foster belief in a social contract (Culnan & Armstrong, 1999)
- Highlight negative role perception of surveillance can play particularly if perceived as excessive
- The study of users and non-users is important to avoid the risk of accepting a worldview in which adoption of new technology is the norm
- Social influence is more important when deciding to adopt (for non-users) than continued use (existing users)
- Decisions to adopt and continue to use are highly influenced by the various acceptance variables





Obrigada!

patricia.endo@upe.br



