The Seventh International Conference on Advances in Sensors, Actuators, Metering and Sensing ALLSENSORS 2022 June 26, 2022 to June 30, 2022 - Porto, Portugal



# DEVELOPMENT OF AN AUTOMATIC YARN CHARACTERIZATION SYSTEM

VÍTOR CARVALHO ET AL.





28<sup>th</sup> June 2022

vcarvalho@ipca.pt

### PRESENTER

- PHD IN DEGREE IN INDUSTRIAL ELECTRONICS, IN THE OPTION OF INDUSTRIAL INFORMATICS (2008, MINHO UNIVERSITY, PORTUGAL)
- ASSOCIATE (TENURED) PROFESSOR AT THE POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE OF CÁVADO AND AVE (IPCA), BARCELOS, PORTUGAL
- INTEGRATED RESEARCHER OF THE APPLIED ARTIFICIAL
   INTELLIGENCE LABORATORY (2AI) AT IPCA
- COLLABORATOR OF THE ALGORITMI RESEARCH CENTRE AT MINHO UNIVERSITY
- HEAD OF THE SCHOOL OF TECHNOLOGY AT IPCA
- INTERESTS: SENSORS; DATA ACQUISITION; SERIOUS GAMES; EDUCATION; MACHINE LEARNING





Vítor Carvalho (vcarvalho@ipca.pt)

**Scopus Author ID**: <u>7005633216</u>

### OUTLINE

INTRODUCTION

THEORETICAL CONCEPTS

YARN MASS PARAMETERIZATION USING 1MM CAPACITIVE SENSORS (PHASE 1)

YARN HAIRINESS/DIAMETER PARAMETERIZATION USING A COHERENT SIGNAL PROCESSING TECHNIQUE (PHASE 2/3)

YARN PRODUCTION CHARACTERISTICS DETERMINATION USING IMAGE PROCESSING (IP) TECHNIQUES (PHASE 4)

YARN SYSTEM QUALITY (YSQ) PROTOTYPE (PHASE 5)

YARN PARAMETERS DETERMINATION USING IP/ YSQ-IP PROTOTYPES (PHASE 6)

OTHER PROJECT CHALLENGES



### INTRODUCTION BACKGROUND AND MOTIVATION

### THE CORRECT AND ACCURATE EVALUATION OF YARNS IS A SUBJECT OF MAJOR IMPORTANCE

TO THE TEXTILE INDUSTRY.

FINAL FABRIC QUALITY DEPENDS **DIRECTLY** ON THE YARN QUALITY.

### INTRODUCTION BACKGROUND AND MOTIVATION

TESTERS FROM USTER AND THE MULTITESTER FROM ZWEIGLE ARE NOTABLE FOR THEIR **RELEVANT CONTRIBUTIONS**.





Fig.2 - Zweigle Multitester

Fig.1 - Uster Tester 6

### INTRODUCTION BACKGROUND AND MOTIVATION

ALTHOUGH, THESE EQUIPMENT ARE OF A SIGNIFICANT COST, REQUIRE A CONSIDERABLE AREA FOR THEIR

INSTALLATION AND PRESENT LIMITED RESOLUTION AND PRECISION IN THE EVALUATION OF CERTAIN YARN

PARAMETERS.



TYPICALLY YARN PRODUCERS DO NOT HAVE THEIR OWN YARN TESTERS - SUBCONTRACT EVALUATIONS

FROM DEDICATED TESTING LABORATORIES.

TIME CONSUMING PROCESS, ELIMINATES THE POSSIBILITY OF ACTING IN USEFUL TIME DURING YARN

6

**PRODUCTION, REDUCING EFFICIENCY.** 

### INTRODUCTION MAIN OBJECTIVE

# DEVELOP AN AUTOMATIC SYSTEM TO CHARACTERIZE YARN QUALITY WITH A SUPERIOR

LEVEL OF PARAMETERIZATION IN COMPARISON TO THE COMMERCIAL SOLUTIONS.



### SIGNIFICANTLY INCREASE THE INFORMATION ACCESSIBLE TO YARN PRODUCERS ALLOWING AN

EFFICIENT YARN PRODUCTION.

### INTRODUCTION PROJECT TIMELINE

 (2002-2008)
 YSQ PROTOTYPE: YARN MASS (CAPACITIVE), YARN HAIRINESS AND DIAMETER (OPTICAL) AND YARN PRODUCTION CHARACTERISTICS (IMAGE PROCESSING).







YSQ

(2008-2010) yarn diameter, irregularities and hairiness (image processing) - no yarn movement.







(2010-Present) YSQ-IP prototypes: (v1) image processing - with yarn movement; v2 prototype: to include hairiness characterization + fabrics prediction (AI).



### THEORETICAL CONCEPTS YARN CONFIGURATION



Fig.3 – Example of Yarn Configuration

 $Yarn_{diameter} = 4,44x10^{-2} \sqrt{\frac{tex}{p}} (mm)$ 

Where:

tex - yarn linear mass (g/km); *p* - yarn density (g/cm<sup>3</sup>).

### THEORETICAL CONCEPTS YARN PARAMETERS



Fig.6 - Yarn production characteristics identification

### THEORETICAL CONCEPTS COEFFICIENTS AND STATISTICAL PARAMETERS

$$H = \frac{l_{H}}{l_{yarn}} \qquad UH(\%) = \frac{100}{\overline{Hn}_{s}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |H_{i} - \overline{H}|$$
Hairiness coefficient (H) Absolute mean deviation of H  

$$sH = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{s}} \sum_{i=1}^{s} (H_{i} - \overline{H})^{2}} \qquad CVH(\%) = \frac{100}{\overline{H}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{s}} \sum_{i=1}^{s} (H_{i} - \overline{H})^{2}}$$
Standard deviation of H Coefficient of variation of H  

$$IDRH_{\alpha}(\%) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n_{s}-1} y(i)}{\overline{Hn}_{s}} = \frac{100}{\overline{Hn}_{s}} = \frac{100}{\overline{Hn}_{s}}$$

mass and alameter.

### THEORETICAL CONCEPTS SIGNAL PROCESSING TECHNIQUES



(periodical impulse error)





Fig.9 - Multiple parallel plates capacitive sensor

Fig.10 - Dual sensor parallel plates and MS3110 IC block diagram



Capacitance variation of 2,08E-17F is expected for a 57tex yarn.

Fig.11 - Yarn mass measurement system flowchart

### • TEST:

- YARN: 19,7TEX (G/KM) COTTON YARN;
- SPEED OF YARN: 50M/MIN;
- F=833HZ;
- SENSOR WIDTH = 1MM;
- SAMPLE LENGTH = 1000M.

### • SENSITIVITY THRESHOLDS:

- THIN AND THICK PLACES;
  - 20,40,60,80 (%).
- NEPS;
  - 100,150,200,250(%).

**Sensitivity** is defined as the yarn mass value used to detect a particular irregularity! 14

Table 1 - Thin and thick places for 1mm samples

Faults length (mm)	Sensitivity	(%)						
	20		40		60		80	
	Thin	Thick	Thin	Thick	Thin	Thick	Thin	Thick
1	38823	48013	3488	5229	97	313	8	18
2	7414	7875	132	233	0	26	0	0
3	2137	1917	13	49	0	5	0	0
>3	2335	1555	6	96	0	4	0	0
Total	50769	59360	3639	5607	97	348	8	18

Table 2 - U(%), CV(%), DR(%), IDR(%) and neps for 1 mm samples

Sensitivity (%)	DR (%)	Length (m)	IDR (%)	Sensitivity (%)	Neps	U (%)	CV (%)
20	25.23	252.34	5.71	100	8	11.23	14.04
40	1.78	17.75	0.64	150	0		,
60	0.05	0.50	0.02	200	0		
80	0.00	0.00	0.00	250	0		

Table 3 - U(%), CV(%), DR(%), IDR(%) and neps for 8mm samples (mathematical model)

Sensitivity (%)	DR (%)	Length (m)	IDR (%)	Sensitivity (%)	Neps	U (%)	CV (%)
20	4.87	48.70	1.09	100	0	8.26	10.33
40	0.11	1.10	0.04	150	0		
60	0.004	0.04	0.00	200	0		
80	0.00	0.00	0.00	250	0		
			Uster classific	cation (%)	P < 5	P < 5	P < 5

#### Table 4 - Thin and thick places for 8 mm samples (mathematical model)

Faults length (mm)	Sensitivity	(%)						
	20		40		60		80	
	Thin	Thick	Thin	Thick	Thin	Thick	Thin	Thick
8	4125	1943	6	139	0	5	0	0
Total	4125	1943	6	139	0	5	0	0
Uster classification (%)	P > 95		P = 25		<i>P</i> < 5		P < 5	



Fig.12 - Spectrogram for 1mm samples



Fig. 13 - Spectrogram for 8mm samples (mathematical model)



Fig.14 - Spectrogram for 8mm samples with Uster Tester 3

### Uster Tester 3 vs Developed System:

-the signals are very similar (mathematically).

### Slight differences:

-for different tests, with the same yarn, the number of irregularities varies in number and type; -the main tendency of the spectrum should prevail, as occurred.

Project Achievements – phase 1

### the evaluation of yarn mass with the developed sensor is feasible at 1mm range;

 we were able to extract yarn mass values of 1mm, which can be compared, using mathematical treatment, with Uster standard tables (8mm yarn samples).



Fig.15 - Optical signal processing – Fourier Plane



Fig.16 - High pass spatial filter (hairiness)





1mm hairiness – 105,4mV



*Fig.24 - Minimum statistical reference vs water reference results* 

Minimum Statistical Reference Method



Fig.25 - Electron microscope image of the tested 4.2tex yarn (34X)

Parameters

Statistical

Results



Fig.26 - DRH(%) results

Fig.27 - IDRH(%) results



0.001

Fig.28 - FFT of hairiness variation spectrogram

0.010

Several peaks but none dominating: non-sinusoidal signal characteristic.

0.100

Wavelength (m)

1.000

FFT LTL



Fig.29 - FWHT of hairiness variation spectrogram

Several peaks protrude: rectangular similarity signal – more adequate for H.



### Fig.30 - FDFI row error belongings

No periodic impulse errors for 25% threshold – no 100% row error belongings.

Project Achievements – phase 2

- The **methodology** used to the hairiness determination (coherent optical signal processing plus electronics) yields reliable results (low noise, stability and high linearity, factors which increase both resolution and accuracy);
- The statistical reference method enables the determination of the signal reference with the advantages of being non evasive and the possibility of being determined simultaneously with the data acquisition;
- This allows a feasible determination of the yarn hairiness statistical parameters and spectrograms.

 SIMILAR ELECTRONIC AND OPTICAL HARDWARE AS FOR HAIRINESS DETECTION, BUT WITH F BEING A LOW-PASS SPATIAL FOURIER FILTER.



Fig.31 - Fourier plane (a); Result with (b) high-pass filter and (c) low-pass filter



*Fig.32 - Examples of the application of a low-pass filter in yarns* 





 Table 6 - Relationship between yarn diameter and

 average output signals

Yarn linear mass (g/km)	Average signal (V)	Maximum diameter (mm)	Minimum diameter (mm)
49.17	0.2320	0.384	0.314
62.00	0.3265	0.518	0.460
295.00	0.6687	1.210	0.940



Fig.34 - Linear distribution of the yarn diameter



### • CORRELATION BETWEEN YARN MASS AND DIAMETER VARIATION RESULTS:

- TEST: 295TEX YARN;
- CAPACITIVE AND OPTICAL SAMPLES OF 1MM;
- 2 DIFFERENT SECTIONS OF THE SAME YARN.
  - EXPECT SIMILAR VARIATION RESULTS, BUT NOT IDENTICAL.

#### Table 7 - Descriptive analysis with SPSS

	Range (%)	Minimum (%)	Maximum (%)	Mean (%)	Mean SD error	Inter-quartile Range (%)	Covariance (%)
Optical	27.81	-14.00	13.81	0.17	0.15	6.58	25.37
Capacitive	26.82	-13.47	13.35	0.03	0.13	5.33	19.02

Capacitive and optical values are in close agreement. The correlation is at a **0.05% level - statistical significance** between both technologies.

Project Achievements – phase 3

- The **methodology** used to yarn diameter determination (coherent optical signal processing plus electronics) yields reliable results - direct relationship between the output system value and the yarn diameter;
- the **quantification of yarn irregularities** is easily achieved, considering the sample-by-sample diameter results, in order to the average diameter variation;
- A noncontrolled environment could be used as the system is able of **auto-calibration**!



Fig.35 - Image acquisition system design

### Analogue Microscope:

Two oculars of 5x and 16x;

A Barlow lens of 2x; and,

Three objectives of 4x,10x, and 40x;

Led illumination (monochromatic).

#### USB Web Camera:

- Photosensitive element: 1/4" CMOS

sensor;

- Resolution: 640 x 480pixels;
- Video mode: VGA; and,
- Colour format: 24bits.



Fig.36 - Image acquisition hardware (a) monochromatic illumination (b)webcam (c)amplification lens (d)<sup>30</sup>yarn samples



Calibration (40x): 1 pixel -> 1/73mm



Fig.37 – Example of image acquisition of a 22tex yarn



Fig.38 – Example of image acquisition of a 62tex yarn

# Developed applications to obtain the yarn production characteristics

- Main Application (A) extraction of the relevant yarn image areas;
- Yarn Production Characteristics Classification Application (B) analysis of the particles resultant from A;
- Fibers Twist Orientation in Spun(Nonfolded) Yarns Application (C)



22tex yarn image acquired (1)



Contrast and Gamma adjust (2)



Removal of the Luminance Plane over Hue Saturation Plane (3)



Segmentation:Binarization (4)



Hole Filling (5)



Erosion (6)



Convex Hull (7)



Small Object Removal (8) x2

Particle Analysis (9):

- First horizontal pixel;
- Orientation angle;
- Area.

33

#### Image Processing (IP) Techniques Sequence

Folded yarn twist step	<ul> <li>average of the first horizontal pixel between particles.</li> </ul>		
Folded yarn twist orientation	<ul> <li>angle between 90° and 180°: anticlockwise;</li> <li>angle between 0° and 90°: clockwise.</li> </ul>		
Number of cables	<ul> <li>1 particle – spun (nonfolded) yarn;</li> <li>more than 1 particle - folded yarn.</li> </ul>		
Fibers twist orientation	<ul> <li>folded yarn - opposite to yarn twist orientation;</li> <li>spun yarn - App. C is considered.</li> </ul>		

Yarn Production Characteristics Classification Application (B)

- 1. REMOVAL OF THE INTENSITY PLANE OF THE HUE, SATURATION AND INTENSITY: COLOUR ENCODING SCHEME;
- 2. CONVOLUTION: HIGHLIGHT DETAILS;
- 3. AUTO THRESHOLD: MOMENTS;
- 4. SMALL OBJECTS REMOVAL;
- 5. LABELLING;
- 6. EXPONENTIAL;
- 7. CONVEX HULL;
- 8. PARTICLE FILTER;
- 9. PARTICLE ANALYSIS: ORIENTATION (FROM 90° TO 180° TWIST ORIENTATION ANTICLOCKWISE, IF 0° TO 90° CLOCKWISE).





Fig.39 - Initial nonfolded 62tex yarn image



Fig.40 - Algorithm final image 35

### Fibers Twist Orientation in Nonfolded Yarns Application (C)



Fig.41 - 22tex yarn image acquired



Fig.42 - 22tex final image (app. A)

Particles	First Horizontal Pixel	Orientation (°)	Area (pixels)
1	52	162.9	128
2	88	168.8	145
3	108	161.6	126
4	140	168.0	83

(average distance between particles: 29.3±8.3pixels)

### Yarn production characteristics results:

- Fibers twist orientation: clockwise;
- Folded yarn twist orientation: anticlockwise;
- Number of cables: more than one cable (folded yarn);
- Folded yarn twist step:  $0.4 \pm 0.1$ mm.

Table 8 - Particle analysis results for the 22tex yarn



Fig.43 - Image acquisition of a 55tex yarn



Fig.44 - Final image 55tex yarn (app. A)

Particles	First Horizontal Pixel	Orientation (°)	Area (pixels)
1	73	154.5	377
2	116	158.0	292
3	181	174.6	120

(average distance between particles: 54±15pixels)

### Yarn production characteristics results:

- Fibers twist orientation: clockwise;
- Folded yarn twist orientation: anticlockwise;
- Number of cables: more than one cable (folded yarn);
- Folded yarn twist step:  $0.7 \pm 0.2$  mm.

Table 9 - Particle analysis results for the 55tex yarn



Fig.45 - Initial spun(nonfolded) 62tex yarn image



Fig.46 - 62tex yarn final image (app. A)

### Table 10 - Particle analysis results for the 62tex yarn

Particles	First Horizontal Pixel	Orientation ( $^{\circ}$ )	Area (pixels)
1	132	176.6	5527

### Yarn production characteristics:

- Fibers twist orientation: anticlockwise;
- Number of cables: one cable spun (nonfolded) yarn.

### (A particle orientation angle of 136<sup>o</sup> was obtained - app. C)



Fig.40 - Algorithm final image (app. C)



Fig.47 - Electronic microscope image for the 55tex yarn (40X)



Fig.48 - Electronic microscope image for the 62tex yarn (40X)

### Validation results:

- 55tex yarn is a folded yarn, anticlockwise twist orientation, clockwise fibers twist orientation, twist step between 0.64mm and 0.78mm was measured;

- 62tex yarn is a spun(nonfolded) yarn, anticlockwise fibers twist orientation.

Results obtained from the electron microscope images are in agreement with the results obtained with the developed application methodology validation.

Project Achievements – phase 4

- The methodology used to automatically determine yarn production characteristics yields reliable results as validated with the electronic microscope analysis;
- A **low-cost image acquisition hardware** using a USB web camera coupled to microscope optics with monochromatic illumination was adequate;
- The developed application with the **IMAQ Vision software** from National Instruments enabled to apply the required image processing techniques and algorithms.

- CONSIDERING THE PREVIOUS
   STUDIES A PROTOTYPE (YSQ) WAS
   BUILD INTEGRATING:
  - OPTICAL AND ELECTRONIC SETUPS TO OBTAIN THE MEASUREMENTS OF YARN HAIRINESS, YARN DIAMETER AND MASS VARIATION;
  - EXTERNAL MODULE TO OBTAIN THE YARN PRODUCTION CHARACTERISTICS WITH IMAGE PROCESSING.



Fig.49 - YSQ prototype





Fig.50 - YSQ laser beam split configuration



Fig.51 - YSQ optical and capacitive sensors hardware



Fig.56 - Image plan of lenses L2 and L3 (HPF)



Fig.52 - Line profile analysis of selected region







YARN PRODUCTION CHARACTERISTICS MODULE

Fig.55 - Front panel of the yarn production characteristics software module

Fig.53 - Front panel of the yarn acquisition software module

Fig.54 - Front panel of the yarn characterization software module

### • TEST COMPARISON BETWEEN YSQ AND USTER TESTER 3 FOR THE 100% COTTON YARN OF 59TEX (1KM LENGTH) – STATISTICAL RESULTS AND IRREGULARITIES

Table 11 - Parameters results

Table 12 - Results of absolute variation

	Uster Tester 3	YSQ
	(a)	(b)
Doromotor	(capacitive	(capacitive
I al ameter	and	and
	optical sensor)	optical sensor)
U (%)	11.40	15.68
CV (%)	14.50	20.20
Thin Places (-50 %)	2/km	1345/km
Thick Places (50 %)	54/km	7365/km
Neps (>= 200 %)	17/km	255/km
Н	8.20	1.45
sH	2.30	2.47

	Absolute variation
Parameter	(b - a)
U (%)	+4.28
CV (%)	+5.70
Thin Places (-50 %) / km	+ 1343
Thick Places (+50 %) / km	+7311
Neps (>= +200 %) / km	+238
Н	- 6.75
sH	+0.17

 TEST COMPARISON BETWEEN YSQ AND USTER TESTER 3 FOR THE 100% COTTON YARN OF 59TEX (1KM LENGTH) – SIGNAL PROCESSING RESULTS – MASS VARIATION



Fig.56 - Uster Tester 3 mass variation spectrogram



Fig.57 - YSQ mass variation spectrogram

 TEST COMPARISON BETWEEN YSQ AND USTER TESTER 3 FOR THE 100% COTTON YARN OF 59TEX (1KM LENGTH) – SIGNAL PROCESSING RESULTS – HAIRINESS VARIATION



Fig.58 - Uster Tester 3 hairiness variation spectrogram



Fig.59 - YSQ hairiness variation spectrogram

# Project Achievements – phase 5

- In comparison with the available commercial systems, the YSQ presents several new characteristics, namely:
  - the simultaneously use of the coherent optical signal processing for yarn hairiness and diameter characterization;
  - integration and measurement of yarn mass variation based on 1mm capacitive sensor enabling the direct detection of nep irregularities;

Project Achievements – phase 5 (cont.)

- determination of new parameters in yarn analysis allowing a high precise yarn characterization;
- use of three signal processing techniques, enabling an accurate periodical errors characterization;
- automatic determination of yarn production characteristics.

After the development of the YSQ, the project team dedicated its efforts to developed solutions to obtain yarn parameters using image processing:

- motivated by the computational effort cost reduction and fast computational resources;
- to automatically characterize yarn diameter, hairiness and irregularities (yarn in a stationary state);
- identify and quantify the loop and protruding fibers (hairiness).



Example of application of the diameter determination algorithm:



Fig.60 - Initial image acquisition of a 22tex yarn



#### Image after yarn rotation



Image after Removal of the Luminance Plane



Image after Filter function

1



Image after Auto Threshold function







Image after Open function



Image after Convolution function



Image after Canny Edge Detection function



Image after AND function with rectangles mask



Final image to determine the yarn diameter with Clamp Vertical Max function



Irregularities determination flowchart

 $[d(mm)=4,44x10^{-2*}\sqrt{(tex/p)}]$ 



Example of application of the hairiness determination algorithm:



Fig.61 - Initial sample image acquisition of a 62tex yarn





Loop fiber



# Flowchart of the loop and protruding fibers distinction algorithm

**loop fibers** - sequence of 1's pixels in the same row;

**protruding fibers** - sequence of 1's pixels in the same column.



Fig.62 - Loop/protruding fibers detection - 22tex yarn

Table 13 - Loop/protruding fibers detection – 22tex yarn

	10%	40%	50%	80%	100%
Loop Protruding	0 0	0 2	8 7	105 1	20 1

More feasible analysis Boundary between hairiness/yarn contour Loop/protruding fibers detection/distinction Results



Results

Diameter

Fig.63 - Yarn acquired images: (1) 16.4tex, (2) 19.68tex, (3) 29.5tex, (4) 36.9tex, (5) 98tex



Fig.64 - Yarn images after the image processing techniques application to diameter determination: (1) 16.4tex, (2) 19.68tex, (3) 29.5tex, (4) 36.9tex, (5) 98tex

Table 14 - Diameter determination comparison between experimental (10 places) and empirical values for each yarn (sample number)

Sample number	Linear mass (Tex)	Average $d_p$ (pixels)	$d_t (\mathrm{mm})$	Average $d_e$ (mm)	Standard deviation (mm)	Relative error (%)
1	16.40	16.70	0.2430	0.2288	0.017	6.2
2	19.68	20.99	0.2662	0.2875	0.097	7.4
3	29.50	24.84	0.3259	0.3402	0.042	4.2
4	36.90	29.62	0.3645	0.4058	0.027	10.2
5	98.00	52.62	0.5939	0.7209	0.055	17.6
					Average error	9.1%

Table 15 - Yarn irregularities for the 19.68tex yarn (2)

Sample number	Thick places	Thin places	Neps
1	0	0	0
2	0	0	0
3	2	0	0
4	0	0	0
5	0	0	0
6	2	1	0
7	4	1	0
8	0	0	0
9	0	0	0
10	2	0	0



Fig.65 - Sample 3 thick places of the 19.68tex yarn



Fig.66 - Pixels diameter variation over the 19.68tex yarn – sample 3



Fig.67 - Yarn images after the image processing techniques applied to hairiness determination: (a) 16.4tex, (b) 19.68tex, (c) 36.9tex, (d) 98tex



Fig.68 - Example of 2 samples of the 19.68tex yarn

### Table 16 - Hairiness results (10 samples) comparisonbetween Uster Tester 3 and the presented methodology

Sample number	Linear mass (Tex)	<i>H<sub>u</sub></i> (m/ m)	Average <i>H<sub>e</sub></i> (m/m)	Relative error (%)
1	16.40	4.69	4.31	8.8
2	19.68	7.08	6.69	5.8
3	36.90	4.37	4.56	4.2
4	98.00	7.18	7.15	0.4
			Average error	4.8%



Fig.69 - Front panel of the developed user interface

- ADDITIONAL STUDIES
  - CONSIDERS THE DEVELOPMENT OF A PROTOTYPE ABLE TO PERFORM ONLINE ANALYSIS USING IMAGE PROCESSING – YSQ–IP (V1).



Fig.70 - YSQ-IP (v1): a) image acquisition hardware, b) yarn, c) yarn bobbin



Low-cost HDMI VGA Industrial Microscope Camera: - 13MP; - 60f/s; - 130x lens;

- led illumination.

Fig.71 - Image acquisition hardware

- YSQ-IP (V1):
  - YARN SPEED OF 0.01M/S
    - (ONLINE ANALYSIS);
  - IMAGE PROCESSING
     ALGORITHMS
     DEVELOPED/OPTIMIZED
     WITH THE OPENCV
     LIBRARY.



Fig.72 - YSQ – IP (v1) online system interface

YSQ-IP (v1): 24,89tex yarn image acquisition and processing example



Fig.73 - YSQ – IP (v1) yarn acquisition and processing

a) Acquired image

b) Hairiness component

c) Yarn core component

- UNDERGOING STUDIES
  - YSQ-IP (V2):
    - INTEGRATED HMI PROTOTYPE
       PARAMETERIZATION AND ——
       OPERATION;
    - ENHANCED IMAGE
       ACQUISITION HARDWARE; \_
    - INTEGRATED YARN CLOSED
       LOOP SYSTEM MULTIPLE
       SAMPLE TESTS.



YSQ-IP (v2)



Project Achievements – phase 6

- The methodology using image processing yarn to automatically determine yarn parameters (linear mass, diameter, hairiness and irregularities) seems adequate;
- The preliminary study for the detection and distinction between loop and protruding fibres reveal to be a positive indicator for this analysis, but with a considerable margin of error, which leads to the need for a thorough study on the optimization of the methodology.

The study of the hairiness spatial yarn position based on yarn hairiness measurements. The development of a method with an electric field to place yarn loosen fibers (hairiness) fully straight and accurately determine the length of yarn hairs.

### YSQ-IP (v2) Challenges

The improvement of methods to detect and distinguish between the hairiness loop and protruding fibers supported by artificial intelligence algorithms.

Use of artificial intelligence techniques to predict the fabrics (woven/knitting) quality as a result of yarn parameters determination.

# PEOPLE

#### **Project Team**

João Monteiro, Algoritmi Research Center, Minho University Rosa Vasconcelos, 2C2T Research Center, Minho University Filomena Soares, Algoritmi Research Center, Minho University Michael Belsley, Physics Center, Minho University

Paulo Cardoso, Physics Center, Minho University

José Gabriel Pinto, Algoritmi Research Center, Minho University

### PhD Thesis

Vítor Carvalho, PhD in Industrial Electronics, Minho University

Filipe Pereira (**undergoing**), PhD in Electronics and Computers Engineering, Minho University

### **Undergraduate Final Internships**

BSE in Industrial Electronics Engineering, Minho University Vítor Carvalho; Nelson Cunha; Márcio Neves; Vítor Silva; Carlos Alves; Jorge Silva; Ricardo Fernandes

#### **MSc Dissertations**

Vítor Carvalho, MSc in Industrial Electronics Engineering, Minho University

José Gabriel Pinto, MSc in Industrial Electronics Engineering, Minho University

Nuno Gonçalves, MSc in Industrial Electronics and Computers Engineering, Minho University

Ricardo Pinto, MSc in Electronics and Computers Engineering, IPCA

#### Cooperation

Dimitri Isakov, Physics Center, Minho University Elsa Ribeiro, 3B's Research Group, Minho University Joaquim Jorge, 2C2T Research Center, Minho University José Carvalho, EDP

### FUNDING

- PORTUGUESE SCIENCE FOUNDATION FOR TECHNOLOGY (FCT):
  - PROJECT "ANÁLISE ON-LINE DA REGULARIDADE DE FIO TÊXTIL, COM ELEVADA RESOLUÇÃO" UNDER CONTRACT POSI/P/EEI/13189/98;
  - PHD SCHOLARSHIP UNDER CONTRACT SFRH/BD/19028/2004;
  - FCT PLURIANNUAL ALGORITMI RESEARCH CENTER FUNDING UNDER CONTRACTS (UID/CEC/00319/2013) AND (UID/CEC/00319/2019).







The Seventh International Conference on Advances in Sensors, Actuators, Metering and Sensing ALLSENSORS 2022

June 26, 2022 to June 30, 2022 - Porto, Portugal

# 

# DEVELOPMENT OF AN AUTOMATIC YARN CHARACTERIZATION SYSTEM

VÍTOR CARVALHO ET AL.

Thank you!





28<sup>th</sup> June 2022

vcarvalho@ipca.pt