





Enhancing UX Research Activities Using GenAl – Potential Applications and Challenges

CAEBUS Center for Advanced E-Business Studies

Stefan Graser (MSc) | Anastasia Snimshchikova (BSc) | Dr. Martin Schrepp | Prof. Dr. Stephan Böhm

30th September 2024, Venice, Italy – paper presentation 30004

RESUMÉ



User Experience (UX) Research covers various methods for gathering the users' subjective impressions of a product. For this, practitioners face different activities and tasks related to the research process. This includes processing a large amount of data based on qualitative and quantitative data. However, this can be very laborious in practice. Thus, the application of GenAI can support UX research activities.

This paper provides a practical perspective on this topic. Based on previous studies, we present different use cases indicating the potential of GenAI in UX research. Moreover, we provide insights into an exploratory study using GenAI along an entire UX research process. Results show that Large Language Models (LLMs) are useful for various tasks. Thus, the research activities can be carried out more efficiently. However, the researcher should always review results to ensure quality. In summary, we want to express the potential of GenAI enhancing UX research.

Keywords- User Experience (UX), UX Research, Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI), Usability Test, Surveys, Comment Analysis





- 1. Introduction
- 2. Overview of research activities
- 3. Analysis of comments
- 4. Creation of personas
- 5. Analysis of Semantic Textual Similarity
- 6. GenAl-supported Exploratory Study
- 7. Conclusion

USER EXPERIENCE

person's perceptions and responses that result from the use or anticipated use of a product, system or service (DIN ISO 9241-210)



4

- Multidimensional construct describing the overall impression (Santoso & Schrepp 2019)
- UX is an **success factor** in the development and improvement of information systems (Rauschenberger et al. 2013; Schrepp 2020)
- Need to understand and measure the UX and its dimensions to improve products, systems and services (Irshad et al. 2020; Schrepp et al. 2023)
- Various empirical methods can be found in literature for measuring the UX (Assila et al. 2016; Rohrer 2022; Albert & Tullis 2022)



UX RESEARCH PROCESS





Various tasks and data processing during the research process for researchers

GENERATIVE ARTIFICAL INTELLIGENCE

- Rapid development of Large Language Models (LLMs), e.g. ChatGPT-4
- Becoming increasingly popular in both academia and industry (Minaee et al. 2024)
- Useful for a broad range of deep learning and Natural Language

Processing (NLP) tasks (Brown et al. 2020; Ouyang et al. 2022; Chang et al. 2024; Minaee et al. 2024; Shen et al 2024)

LLMs can effectively be used for tasks related to natural language understanding, such as text classification or semantic understanding

Many possibilities to support UX research activities by applying GenAI among the research activities



LLMs are statistical language models indicating a strong language understanding and generation abilities based on to the following characteristics:

- large-scale
- Pre-trained
- Transformer-based
 neural networks

(Minaee et al. 2024)

RESEARCH OBJECTIVE & QUESTIONS



Providing an overview of different UX research activities enhanced by GenAI

RQ1: How can GenAI assist UX researchers in categorizing and summarizing user comments?

→ Analysis of textual data (user comments) from usability testing

RQ2: How can GenAI be used to assist UX researchers in the preparation of research and design activities?

\rightarrow Preparation and generation of artifacts to support research activities

RQ3: Can GenAI be used to develop a deeper understanding of the concept of UX?
 → Analysis of semantic textual similarity of items and scales

RQ4: How can GenAI be integrated with existing methods in a typical UX evaluation scenario?

→ Application of GenAl among a UX evaluation scenario





- 1. Introduction
- 2. Overview of research activities
- 3. Analysis of comments
- 4. Creation of personas
- 5. Analysis of Semantic Textual Similarity
- 6. GenAl-supported Exploratory Study
- 7. Conclusion

OVERVIEW OF RESEARCH ACTIVITIES

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Overview of research activities
- 3. Analysis of comments
- 4. Creation of personas
- 5. Analysis of Semantic Textual Similarity
- 6. GenAl-supported Exploratory Study
- 7. Conclusion

ANALYSIS OF COMMENTS (1/2)

Data Collection

Evaluation of Paypal

"What do you particularly like about PayPal?" (+) "Which aspects do you find particularly bad about PayPal?" (-)

105 positive comments and 113 negative comments

Categorization of comments (2 iterations)

"The numbered list below contains user comments concerning
PayPal. Categorize the comments. One comment can belong to
more than one category. Create a short name for each category that
contains less than two words. Create a summary for each category
in a very short sentence. List all categories. Show the short name of
the category first. Then, in a new line, the summary of the category.
Then the list of comments belonging to that category. Combine the
short summaries from the 5 most frequent categories in a short text"

Based on previous research:

J. Kollmorgen, M. Schrepp, and J. Thomaschewski, "Influence of demographic variables and usage behaviour on the perceived user experience," in Web Information Systems and Technologies, M. Marchiori, F. J. Domínguez Mayo, and J. Filipe, Eds., Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2023, pp. 186–208, ISBN: 978-3-031-43088-6.

ANALYSIS OF COMMENTS (2/2)

Al-generated topic	Al-based summary	n
Ease of Use (+)	Users appreciate the simplicity and intuitiveness of PayPal	53
Speed (+)	Users value the quickness of transactions with PayPal	21
Security (+)	Users feel secure using PayPal for their transactions	13
Integration (+)	Users like how PayPal integrates with other platforms and services	11
International/Currency Features (+)	Users appreciate the ability to handle international transactions and currency conversions	7
Security Concerns (-)	Users have concerns about the security of PayPal	17
Difficulties with Transfers and Withdrawals (-)	Users find it difficult and time-consuming to transfer or withdraw money	14
High Fees (-)	Users are unhappy with the high fees charged by PayPal	9
Poor Customer Service (-)	Users find PayPal's customer service to be lacking and unhelpful	8
User Interface Issues (-)	Users find PayPal's user interface confusing and difficult to navigate	4

→Efficient analysis of textual data such as user comments

- Evaluation object and context must be known by the LLM
- Researchers have to overview the results

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Overview of research activities
- 3. Analysis of comments
- 4. Creation of personas
- 5. Analysis of Semantic Textual Similarity
- 6. GenAl-supported Exploratory Study
- 7. Conclusion

CREATION OF PERSONAS (1/2)

Persona creation

Developer of cloud applications

"In UX research, personas are often used in user interface design to communicate and discuss design decisions. Create a persona for a software developer. The person works for a medium-sized company and is a member of a small team of software developers. The company uses SAP as its major ERP system. The development team creates cloud applications that enhance this ERP system with additional functionalities. Some key challenges in this job are the technical complexity of the applications and the need to get a deep knowledge of the structure and existing interfaces of the ERP system. Can you create a persona description? Please provide, in addition, a quote that could realistically come from the persona, which sums up what matters most to them in relation to the product or service"

CREATION OF PERSONAS (2/2)

John Smith

- 35-year-old
- software developer
- medium-sized company
- 7 years experience
- Bachelor's degree in Computer Science
- strong background in cloud computing and ERP systems.

"Understanding the ERP system is just as important as writing good code. We need to ensure that our applications not only work well but also integrate seamlessly with the existing system. It's a challenge, but it's also what makes our job interesting and rewarding."

Background

<u>**Role:</u>** John is a member of a **small team** of software developers. His main **responsibility** is to **develop cloud applications** that enhance the company's major ERP system, SAP, by adding additional functionalities.</u>

<u>Skills:</u> John is proficient in several programming languages, including Java, Python, and C++. He also has a good understanding of cloud computing platforms, especially AWS and Google Cloud. He has a basic understanding of SAP but is still working on improving his knowledge in this area.

<u>Key Challenges:</u> John often struggles with the technical complexity of the applications he is developing. He also finds it challenging to gain a deep understanding of the structure and existing interfaces of the ERP system.

Behaviors: John is a **problem-solver** who enjoys tackling complex technical challenges. He is a quick learner and is always eager to learn new technologies and tools that can help him in his job. He prefers to work in a **collaborative environment** and values clear communication within his team.

<u>Needs:</u> John needs clear documentation and training materials to help him understand the ERP system better. He also needs a supportive work environment where he can freely discuss his challenges and get help from his team members.

<u>Goals:</u> John's primary goal is to develop robust, efficient, and user-friendly applications that can seamlessly integrate with the existing ERP system. He also aims to continuously improve his technical skills and understanding of the ERP system to overcome his challenges.

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Overview of research activities
- 3. Analysis of comments
- 4. Creation of personas
- 5. Analysis of Semantic Textual Similarity
- 6. GenAl-supported Exploratory Study
- 7. Conclusion

ANALYSIS OF SEMANTIC SIMILARITY (2/2)

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Overview of research activities
- 3. Analysis of comments
- 4. Creation of personas
- 5. Analysis of Semantic Textual Similarity
- 6. GenAl-supported Exploratory Study
- 7. Conclusion

*UEEE = User Experience Expectation Evaluation (same idea as Product Reaction Cards)

- Mixed-method approach
- Evaluation of all **three stages** among the UX research process
- Evaluation object: Instagram
- Convenience sample: *n* = 30
- In *German*
- Items and Scales of the UEQ were applied as base

DIGRESSION – STRUCTURE OF THE UEQ

RESULTS (1/3)

Before usage | Anticipated UX | UEEE

After usage | Episodic UX | UEQ

RESULTS (2/3)

Unsupported GenAl-based text analysis

→ Generation of topics

Al-generated topics

- Ease of Use and Intuitiveness
- Feature Discovery
- Personalization and Creativity
- Information Clarity
- Learning Curve and User Guidance
- Functionality and Performance
- Accessibility and User Experience Variability

Supported GenAl-based text analysis

→ Classification to UEQ scales

Stimulation

RheinMain University of Applied Sciences

inferior / valuable: *n=22* "*I enjoy scrolling through the reels.*" (Participant 10)

boring / exciting: **n=15** "But I find the reels very exciting. It motivates me to keep watching." (Participant 4)

not interesting / interesting: **n=25**

"There are lots of interesting things coming up and that takes up a lot of my time in the evenings." (Participant 3)

demotivating / motivating: n=18

"Sometimes you have to watch the reel twice. Maybe 3 or 4 until you finally stop at the right place." (Participant 6)

RESULTS (3/3)

Combining qualitative and quantitative evaluation results

- RheinMain University of Applied Sciences
- User expectations (pre-testing, UEEE) and experiences (post-testing, UEQ) were measured using established UX questionnaires.
- Assessment was carried out using semantic differentials with bipolar adjectives – with resulting ratings from -1 to +1.
- A rating of +1 means that the system was fully rated with the respective adjective, while -1 means the contrasting adjective was supported.
- Pre-test, post-test (scores) results and number of extracted statements (size of dots) are shown in the diagram on the left.
- Results indicate a higher number of statements for UX factors with user dissatisfaction (i.e., items rated with high expectations but a lower experience).

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Overview of research activities
- 3. Analysis of comments
- 4. Creation of personas
- 5. Analysis of Semantic Textual Similarity
- 6. GenAl-supported Exploratory Study
- 7. Conclusion

DISCUSSION

• RQ1: How can GenAl assist UX researchers in categorizing and summarizing user comments?
 →LLMs are useful in analyzing and summarizing short text data.
 →However, results must be reviewed due to heterogeneous data

- **RQ2:** How can GenAI be used to assist UX researchers in the preparation of research and design activities?
- \rightarrow LLMs can efficiently generate user tasks and personas
- RQ3: Can GenAl be used to develop a deeper understanding of the concept of UX?
 →GenAl was able to identify and classify UX measurement items based on the semantic similarity
- RQ4: How can GenAl be integrated with existing methods in a typical UX evaluation scenario?
 →ChatGPT-4 was useful analyzing and combining quantitative and qualitative evaluation results

CONCLUSION

• Emphasis of potential to enhance UX research by applying GenAI:

- 1) Analyzing both small and large text data
- 2) Creating personas
- 3) Analyzing semantic similarity
- 4) Generating user tasks
- 5) Matching quantitative and qualitative evaluation results
- Lack of understanding the mechanism of LLMs (blackbox)
- Need of overviewing results by researchers

Further considerations of the application of GenAl in UX research activities needed

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

Stefan Graser (MSc) Doctoral Candidate & Research Associate

E-Mail: <u>stefan.graser@hs-rm.de</u> <u>ORCID:</u> 0000-0002-5221-2959 Dr. Martin Schrepp UX Expert & Researcher SAP SE

E-Mail: martin.schrepp@sap.com

ORCID: 0000-0001-7855-2524

Prof. Dr. Stephan Böhm Professor for Telecommunication and Mobile Media

E-Mail: <u>stephan.boehm@hs-rm.de</u> <u>ORCID:</u> 0000-0003-3580-1038

REFERENCES (1/2)

Azuma, R.T.: A survey of augmented reality. Presence Teleoperators Virtual Environ. 6, 355–385 (1997)

RheinMain University of Applied Sciences

Assila, A., de Oliveira, K.M., Ezzedine, H.: Standardized usability questionnaires features and quality focus. Comput. Sci. Inf. Technol. 6 (2016). https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:54726201

Billinghurst, M., Duenser, A.: Augmented reality in the classroom. Computer 45(7), 56-63 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1109/MC.2012.111

Brown, T. B. et al., "Language models are few-shot learners," ArXiv, vol. abs/2005.14165, 2020.

Chang, Y.S., Hu, K.J., Chiang, C.W., Lugmayr, A.: Applying mobile augmented reality (AR) to teach interior design students in layout plans: evaluation of learning effectiveness based on the arcs model of learning motivation theory. Sensors 20(1), 105 (2020) https://doi.org/10.3390/s20010105, https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/20/1/105

Chang, Y. et al., "A survey on evaluation of large language models," ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 1–45, 2024.

Criollo-C, S., Abad-Vasquez, D., Martic-Nieto, M., Velasquez-G, F.A., Perez-Medina, J.L., Lujan-Mora, S.: Towards a new learning experience through a mobile application with augmented reality in engineering education. Appl. Sci. 11(11), 4921 (2021). <u>https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/11/11/4921</u>

Dirin, A., Laine, T.: User experience in mobile augmented reality: emotions, challenges, opportunities and best practices. Computers 7, 33 (2018). https://doi.org/10.3390/computers7020033

Gao, M., Boehm-Davis, D.: Development of a customizable interactions questionnaire (CIQ) for evaluating interactions with objects in augmented/virtual reality. Virtual Reality 27, 1–18 (2022).https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-022-00678-8

Georgiou, Y., Kyza, E.A.: The development and validation of the ARI questionnaire: an instrument for measuring immersion in location-based augmented reality settings. Int. J. Hum Comput. Stud. 98, 24–37 (2017). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2016.09.014</u>

Hassenzahl, M.: The Thing and I: Understanding the Relationship Between User and Product, pp. 31–42. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht (2004). https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-2967-5_4

Hinderks, A., Winter, D., Schrepp, M., Thomaschewski, J.: Applicability of user experience and usability questionnaires. J. Univers. Comput. Sci. 25, 1717–1735 (2019). https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:210937088

International Organisation for Standardization 9241-210:2019: Ergonomics of human-system interaction Part 210: Human-centred design for interactive systems. ISO - International Organization for Standardization (2019)

Irshad, S., Rambli, D.R.A.: Advances in mobile augmented reality from user experience perspective: a review of studies. In: International Visual Informatics Conference (2017)

Irshad, S., Awang Rambli, D., and Sulaiman, S.: Design and implementation of user experience model for augmented reality systems, in Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Advances in Mobile Computing & Multimedia, ser. MoMM '20, Chiang Mai, Thailand: Association for Computing Machinery, (2020), pp. 48–57.

Minaee, S. et al., "Large language models: A survey," arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.06196, 2024.

Ouyang, L. et al., "Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback," ArXiv, vol. abs/2203.02155, 2022.

REFERENCES (2/2)

Rauschenberger, M., Schrepp, M., Cota, M.P., Olschner, S., Thomaschewski, J.: Efficient measurement of the user experience of interactive products. How to use the user experience of questionnaire (UEQ).example: Spanish language version. Int. J. Interact. Multim. Artif. Intell. 2, 39–45 (2013)

Rohrer, C.: When to use which user-experience research methods (2022). https://www.nngroup.com/articles/which-ux-research-methods/. retrieved: 10/2023

Roto, V., Law, E. C., Vermeeren, A. P., & Hoonhout, J. (2011). User experience white paper: Bringing clarity to the concept of user experience.

Santos, M.E.C., Polvi, J., Taketomi, T., Yamamoto, G., Sandor, C., Kato, H.: Toward standard usability questionnaires for handheld augmented reality. IEEE Comput. Graphics Appl. 35(5), 66–75 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1109/MCG.2015.94

Santos, M.E.C., Taketomi, T., Sandor, C., Polvi, J., Yamamoto, G., Kato, H.: A usability scale for handheld augmented reality. In: VRST '14, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA (2014). https://doi.org/10.1145/2671015.2671019

Santoso, H.B., Schrepp, M.: The impact of culture and product on the subjective importance of user experience aspects. Heliyon 5, e02434 (2019). Schrepp, M.: UEQ+ a modular extension of the user experience questionnaire (2019). http://www.ueqplus.ueq-research.org/. retrieved: 10/2023

Schrepp, M.: A comparison of UX questionnaires - what is their underlying concept of user experience? In: Hansen, C., Nurnberger, A., Preim, B. (eds.) Mensch und Computer 2020 - Workshopband. Gesellschaft fur Informatik e.V., Bonn (2020). https://doi.org/10.18420/muc2020-ws105-236

Schrepp, M.: User Experience Questionnaires: How to use questionnaires to measure the user experience of your products? KDP, ISBN-13: 979-8736459766 (2021)

Schrepp, M., et al.: On the importance of UX quality aspects for different product categories. Int. J. Interact. Multimedia Artif. Intell. 8, 1 (2023). https://doi.org/10.9781/ijimai.2023.03.001

Schrepp, M., Thomaschewski, J.: Design and validation of a framework for the creation of user experience questionnaires. Int. J. Interact. Multimedia Artif. Intell. InPress, 1 (2019). https://doi.org/10.9781/ijimai.2019.06.006

Shen, Y., Song, K., Tan, X., Li, D., Lu, W., and Zhuang, Y., "Hugginggpt: Solving ai tasks with chatgpt and its friends in hugging face," Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, vol. 36, 2024.