



#### Effects of Experience of Listening to Short Sentences Containing ANEWs on Memory: An Analysis Based on Pupillary Responses during Listening and Visual Behavior during Impression Evaluation

Katsuko T. Nakahira, Sho Hasegawa, Shunsuke Moriya, Muneo Kitajima

Nagaoka University of Technology

katsuko@vos.nagaokaut.ac.jp

#### a short resume of the presenter

- Katsuko T. Nakahira is an associate professor at Nagaoka University of Technology
  - received the Master of Education at Nara University of Education Dr. of Eng. at Nagaoka University of Technology



 Her research interests lies in the intersection of perceptual informatics, cognitive architecture, human interface, educational technology, service informatics.....

## **Research Background**

#### our final objective

designing multimodal content that can be easily recalled by real-time detection of such emotional responses

#### related knowledge

 relation between pupillary response, eye movement, and emotion : have the potential to detect the occurrence of emotion in response to emotional stimuli input to humans

## Introduction : findings from previous works

- I. possible to optimize the load of perception information processing by adjusting the interval between perception information
- II. The degree of emotion generated by Affective Norms for English Words (ANEW) may suppress the characteristics of the total change in pupillary response.
- III. constructed a cognitive model of the process of sequential input of externally provided auditory information, its retention in working memory, its recognition, and its updating in the memory network.

#### one of the remaining challenges:

- the relationship between
  - the impression evaluation of the presented stimulus
  - the total change in pupillary response
  - the recall rate after listening to the presented stimulus

### objective of this article

- To estimate these relationship,
  - analyze : the relationship among eye movement data, total change in pupillary response, and recall rate at the time of selecting rating items regarding the impression of listening experience
  - <u>data</u>: based on pupillary response data during short sentence listening and experiences with multiple positive and negative two-level valence



1. the <u>presented auditory information</u> is matched with long-term memory for each <u>specific packet of sound waves</u>, which are basically <u>groups of</u> <u>phonemes that correspond to specific</u> <u>concepts</u>



2. The matching results are returned together with the valence and other information associated with the —— concept.

3. The returned matching results stay in working memory as a group for a certain period of time

The more the concepts overlap in working memory, the stronger the connection between the concepts becomes.



4. A series of concepts are returned to long-term memory as related

various node relationships, such as between concepts and between concepts and valences, are strengthened



#### possible biometric measurements

- impression evaluation speed
  - is affected by the clarify of the impression of the listening sentence
  - the time taken by subject *p* to evaluate the impression of the presented stimulus *i* is measured and is denoted as *t<sup>i</sup><sub>p</sub>*
- visual behavior during impression evaluation
  - during the viewing of options as an element related to the decision-making process of selecting options
  - *n<sup>i</sup><sub>p</sub>* : <u>number of fixation points</u>
    - regarded as the number of times the subject searches for alternative
  - t<sup>i,j</sup> : the jth fixation time
    - approximate degree to which the user has thought about the choices

## design indices

- total fixation time during valence evaluation
  - to confirm the degree to which the subject concentrated on checking the options during  $t^i_{\ p}$

$$t_{f,p}^i = \sum_j^{n_f^i} t_{f,p}^{i,j}$$

•  $t^i_{p} - t^i_{f,p}$  ratio

 to distinguish between cases where the impression evaluation takes a relatively long time and those where it takes time only under certain conditions

$$T_{c,p}^i = \frac{t_{f,p}^i}{t_p^i}$$

#### TABLE I. PARAMETERS PREPARED IN THIS PAPER.

#### preliminary condition





#### results

relation between measurements for each correct/incorrect answer in the recall

memory availability	valence pair	measurements			ρ	p-value	2
entire set	$(V_{++}, V_{}, dT = 1)$	$r_{all}^2$	and	$n_{f}$	0.313	0.009	
	$(V_{++}, V_{++}, dT = 1)$	$r_{all}^1 - r_{all}^e$	and	$T^i_{c,p}$	-0.240	0.049	
	$(V_{++}, V_{++}, dT = 7)$	$r^1_{all} r^2_{all}$	and	$n_f$	0.303	0.012	
			and		0.258	0.034	
recalled	$(V_{++}, V_{}, dT = 1)$	$r_{all}^2$	and	$t^{i}_{f,p}$	0.477	0.026	
			and	$n_f$	0.534	0.011	
	$(V_{}, V_{++}, dT = 7)$	$r^1_{all} - r^e_{all}$	and	$t^i_{f,p}$	0.564	0.008	
			and	$T_{c,p}^{i}$	0.543	0.011	
if the dT is <u>short</u> enough to the emotions ind final emotion tha or it may repel th <u>long</u> enough to c will induce only	cause interference in emotion i uced by the multiple ANEWs m t remains in the listener's mind e original ANEWs and not indu cause interference in the emotion very weak interference	nduction : ay interfere with may induce more any emotions on induction :	i each ot re emoti s at all	ther ons than	the original	I ANEWs indu	ıced,

## discussion : two trends triggering recall

- the degree of cognitive load due to emotion induction is <u>low</u>, but the <u>stimulus</u> is very impressive, which promotes recall
  - regards as System I
  - time of recall is correlated with  $r_{all}^2$  and  $t_{f,p}^i$ ,  $n_{p,f}^i$
  - the answers were generally based on the second ANEW impression
- promotion of recall due to a <u>high degree of cognitive load</u> induced by emotion induction
  - regards as System II
  - short  $T_{c,p}^{i}$  and  $t_{f,p}^{i}$ : the second ANEW impression was recalled independently, with the difference from the emotional induction being high

#### conclusion and future works

analyzed the relationship among eye movement data, total change in pupillary response, and recall rate at the time of selecting rating items regarding the impression of listening experience

- responses with a high recall rate were related.....
  - low cognitive load due to emotion induction
  - was associated with a high degree of cognitive load by emotion induction
- for future :
  - the development of a metric to discriminate between the two status of recall will be valuable for designing content that is easier to recall according to the individual

# Thank you for your attention!

if you feel interesting the research, please check our conference paper